



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/779,374 | 02/07/2001 | Vladimir Mancevski | 500929.000008 | 7983 | |
| 26133 7 | 590 07/11/2003 | | | | |
| | & KNIGHT, L.L.P. | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| 1700 PACIFIC | SECUTION GROUP AVENUE, SUITE 3300 | | SMITH, B | SMITH, BRADLEY | |
| DALLAS, TX | 75201 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2824 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003 | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | M. | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 09/779,374 | MANCEVSKI, VLADIMIR | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Bradley K Smith | 2824 | | | |
| Period f | The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply | on app ars on the cov rsh et w | ith the correspond nce address | | | |
| THE - External control | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a solon. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)∑ | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for | | | | | |
| Disposit | closed in accordance with the practice union of Claims | ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-116 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | thdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) <u>1-116</u> are subject to restriction a | and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| · · _ | ion Papers | | | | | |
| · · · · · · | The specification is objected to by the Exa | <u></u> | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)[_] | The proposed drawing correction filed on | , | isapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| _ | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| * : | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for | al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| 8 |) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | ge provisional application has b | een received. | | | |
| Attachmer | _ | micsuc priority under 35 U.S.C. | 33 120 dilu/01 121. | | | |
| | te of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | |
| 2) Notice | te of References Cited (PTO-052) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N | (8) 5) Notice of | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 0.0 |) (0" | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/779,374 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-17, 59-64, 66-74, 92-97, 101-116, drawn to a carbon nanotube device, classified in class 257, subclass 4.
 - II. Claims 15-58, 75-91, 98-100, drawn to a method of making a carbon nanotube structure, classified in class 438, subclass 99.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the carbon nanotube structure without using the template.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/779,374 Page 3

Art Unit: 2824

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-6261. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (703) 308-2816. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

BKS July 9, 2003

RICHARD ELMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2200